

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/827,547	04/06/2001	Howard S. Barnett	P-A846	6445	
	7590 11/22/2002 The White House on Turtle Creek					
				EXAMINER		
	2401 Turtle Cro Dallas, TX 75	**** = 1 . •		RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2643	λ	
				DATE MAILED: 11/22/2002	DATE MAILED: 11/22/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1/

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/827,547

Applicant(s)

Howard S. Barnett

Examiner

Melur. Ramakrishnaiah

Art Unit 2643



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover shee	et with t	he correspondence address				
Period 1	for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.								
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be evailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> </ul>								
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) More application to become	ONTHS fro	om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 23, 2002							
2a) 💢	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This act	action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposi	tion of Claims							
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u>			is/are pending in the application.				
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u>							
	Claim(s)							
	Claims							
	tion Papers			·				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held	in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a	) 🗆 ap	proved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office actio	n.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)□	3) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) [	] All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:							
	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.						
•	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received	in Appl	ication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).	-				
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	·						
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic							
_	The translation of the foreign language provisiona							
15)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35	U.S.C	. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachm		<b>4</b> 1 □ 1 1 - 4		4401.0				
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_		413) Paper No(s)				
_	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	es retent	Application (PTO-192)				
		-,						

Application/Control Number: 09/827,547 Page 2

Art Unit: 2643

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1- 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howell (US PAT: 5,767,897). in view of Maruyama et al. (US PAT: 5489,938, hereinafter Maruyama).

Regarding claim 1, Howell discloses a system for teleconferenceing presentation by a presenter, comprising in combination: a cart forming podium (22, fig. 1, col. 3 lines 17-18), a computer located (32, figs. 1-2) in or on the cart (col. 3 lines 47-53), a touch panel interface (27, figs. 1-2) with the computer, positioned in the cart, visible to the presenter (28, fig. 2, col. 3 lines 65-67, col. 4 lines 1-14), and a CODEC (30, fig. 2) operationally attached to the computer, wherein the computer, the touch panel and the CODEC can be used without removing from the cart (fig. 1, col. 3 lines 40-44).

Regarding claims 2-5, Howell further teaches the following: a first camera (76, figs. 1-2) for taking a video image of the presenter, the first camera (76, fig. 1) is mounted on the cart, wherein the first camera can be used without removing from the cart (col. 4 lines 14-15), a second camera (70, fig. 1) located on or in the cart, for imaging of documents, wherein second camera

Art Unit: 2643

can be used without removing it from the cart (col. 4 lines 18-19).projector in (22, fig. 1) associated with the cart and a screen, wherein the projector projects images from the first or second camera to the screen, wherein projector can be used without disassociating from the cart (col. 7 lines 15-67, col. 8 lines 1-6).

Howell differs from claimed invention in that he does not explicitly teach a portable system for teleconferencing.

However, Maruyama discloses a television teleconference system which teaches portable system for teleconferencing (fig. 3, col. 4 lines 16-31).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Howell's system to provide for portable system for teleconferencing as this arrangement would provide essay portability of the teleconferencing system, thus reducing the time and labor necessary for transportation of the system as taught by Maruyama.

## Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 09/827,547

Page 4

Art Unit: 2643

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final

action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (703) 305-1461. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Curtis Kuntz, can be reached on (703) 305-4708. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)

305-9508.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Art Unit: 2643

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-6306, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 305-9508 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Melur. Ramakrishnaiah

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2643.